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ABSTRACT
Introduction
Distal femoral fractures comprise 4-6% of all femur fractures. The management of distal femur fractures are challenging because of significant morbidity and complication rate even with advanced surgical techniques and implants. Open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) with pre-contoured distal femoral locking compression plate (DF-LCP) is one of the most acceptable surgical procedures these days.

Objective
Evaluation of functional outcomes of distal femur fractures managed surgically using DF-LCP at Koshi Zonal Hospital.

Methodology
In this cross sectional study 21 patients having closed distal femur fractures with or without intra-articular extension to femoral condyle fixed with DF-LCP at Koshi Zonal Hospital, Nepal from March 2016 to March 2017 were included. Patients with open fracture, severe comminuted fracture, and neurovascular impairment were excluded. These patients were followed-up for up to one year and we recorded the knee range of motion, full weight bearing time fracture union time, and complications. We used Neers’ functional scoring system to evaluate the final outcomes and data was analysed using Microsoft Excel Programe.

Results
Out of the 21 patients of distal femur fractures, 16 were diaphyseal distal femur fractures and five were supracondylar fractures with intra-articular extension. Mean age recorded was 45 (range 19-75) years, hospital stay mean duration 12 (range 10-19) days and duration of surgery 85 (range 60-150) minutes, full weight bearing walking time mean16 (range 12-22) weeks, radiological union time mean 20 (range 17-29) weeks and Neers’ score was excellent in 66.66%, satisfactory in 23.80%, unsatisfactory in 4.76%, and poor in 4.76%. None of our patients had complications like; loss of fixation, implant failure or post-operative neurovascular injury.

Conclusion
Distal Femoral Locking Plate is one of the best implant to be used as fixation method for both extra-articular and intra-articular distal femur fracture.
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INTRODUCTION

Distal femur fractures are uncommon but challenging injuries to treat. In older age males and females with osteoporotic bone, fractures are usually due to low energy trauma like fall from standing height or during walk. However in younger patients, these fractures occur due to high energy trauma like motor vehicle accidents, sports injuries and fall from height.\(^1\,\(^2\)\)

Conservative management such as traction, casting or combination of both demands prolonged bed rest and can result in persistent angular deformity, bed sores and loss of knee range of motion.\(^1\) Surgical fixation has consistently demonstrated better outcomes than nonsurgical management. At present, early return to function is possible due to the development of new technology and newer implants for distal femoral fractures. Commonly used implants are external fixators, angled blade plates, dynamic condylar screw plates, condylar buttress plates, retrograde supracondylar inter-locking nails, Ender’s nails, Rush nails etc. These implants are selected based on the fracture pattern, bone quality, functional demands and type of trauma, the condition of the patient and expertise of the surgeon. Most of these implants require C-arm fluoroscopy during operative period of fixation. Newly introduced distal femoral locking compression plate (DF-LCP) is pre-contoured, which provides angular stability and rigid fixation.\(^3\) \(^4\) \(^5\) \(^6\) \(^7\) \(^8\) Objective of our study is to analyse the functional outcomes of ORIF with DF-LCP in distal femur fractures.

METHODOLOGY

This cross sectional study was conducted on 21 patients admitted in orthopedic department of Koshi Zonal Hospital, Biratnagar, Nepal from March 2016 to March 2017 to analyze the outcomes of surgical management in distal femur fractures with DF-LCP. In this study, we selected adults (skeletally mature) above 18 years and elderly with osteoporotic bone. Simple spiral, oblique, transverse and butterfly fragment with simple intra-articular extension were included but comminuted dia-metaphyseal fractures, open fractures and fractures with neurovascular injuries were excluded. We took convenient sample for this study. Ethical clearance was taken from hospital authority. No patient was harmed physically and economically for this study except their regular expenditure for treatment. Data was analyzed using Microsoft Excel Program.

PRE-OPERATIVE MANAGEMENT

In the emergency department of Koshi Zonal Hospital, we examined thoroughly not only distal femur fracture but also carefully considered the mechanism of injury, mode of injury, associated injuries such as neurovascular injury, head injury and other system involvement. We started emergency treatment and required investigations such as X-Ray, CT scan especially in head injury or intra-articular femoral condyle fractures and other routine blood investigations. Once the patient became stable, we shifted the patient to the ward, elevated the leg on Bohler-Braun splint with non-adhesive traction. In case of delayed surgery, lower tibial skeletal traction with proper weight was applied. We prepared the patient for surgical management after pre-anesthetic checkup (PAC). Informed consents were taken for all the surgeries.

SURGICAL TECHNIQUE

After pre-anesthetic checkup, all patients received regional spinal anesthesia. The patient was placed supine on a radiolucent operating table. Sand bag was placed under the ipsilateral hip, another rolled towel was placed under the knee to achieve flexed position of the knee, length and rotation was carefully controlled. We applied tourniquet in some patient depending on length of femur and extension of fracture. Routine preparation and draping of injured limb was done.

Adequate exposure of articular surface, particularly, medial femoral condyle or coronal plane anatomy was managed by extension of incision as per necessity.

Figure 1: Distal Femur diamephyseal fracture (non-articular involvement)

(A) Pre-op x-ray non intra-articular involvement

(B) Post-op x-ray non intra-articular involvement
Vastus-lateralis muscle was reflected of lateral inter-muscular septum to expose the distal femoral shaft. Fracture reduction was achieved commonly by manual traction. For reduction of condylar fractures, we temporarily used multiple K-wires. Pre-contoured DF-LCP was placed and fixed with 3-5 cancellous locking screws distally and 3-5 cortical locking screws proximally. Hemostasis maintained and wound closure was done in layers after placement of suction drain. After dressing above knee POP slab was applied with knee at 15-20 degree flexion in intra-condylar fracture.

Figure 2: Distal Femur Intra-articular Extension

POSTOPERATIVE PROTOCOL

Suction drain was removed after 48-72 hours depending upon drain collection. Intravenous antibiotic was used for 5-7 days according to patient’s health condition, hygiene, nutritional status, socioeconomic status, patient’s habit of alcohol intake and smoking. Repeat hemoglobin was done for post-operative blood transfusion cases. Sutures removed according to wound healing condition at around 13th day. Plaster of Paris (POP) long leg back slab was applied in every cases and removal was done depending on condition of wound healing, post-operative x-ray bone fixation, bone density, patient’s behavior, and patient’s hygiene maintenance. Isometric quadriceps and hamstring strengthening exercises were started after 2nd postoperative day and active mobilization was initiated after two weeks by physiotherapist. In most of the cases, we removed POP back slab after two weeks, but in older patients, osteoporotic or intra-articular fracture slab was removed after around 3-5 weeks. Partial and full weight bearing walking was allowed on clinical and radiological evidence on follow up at 6 weeks interval. During follow up, outcomes were assessed by using Neers’ functional scoring system up to six months.

Table 1: Neers’ Functional scoring. 10,11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional ..............................................(70 Units)</th>
<th>Anatomical ...................................................(30 Units)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain (20 units)</td>
<td>Gross Anatomy (15 units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. No pain .............................................. 20</td>
<td>5. Thickening only ............................................. 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Intermittent ......................................... 16</td>
<td>4. 5 degrees angulation or 0.5 cm short .......................... 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. With fatigue .......................................... 12</td>
<td>3. 10 degrees angulation or rotation, 2.0 cm short-0 ............ 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Restrict function ...................................... 8</td>
<td>2. 15 degrees angulation or rotation, 3.0 cm short ............ 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0. constant or at night ................................ 4-0</td>
<td>1. union but with greater deformity ..................... 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function (20 units)</td>
<td>0. non union or chronic infection ............................... 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. As before injury ........................................ 20</td>
<td>Roentgenogram (15 units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Restricted, stairs sideways ................. 12</td>
<td>4. 5 degrees angulation or 0.5 cm displacement- ............... 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Cane or severe restriction ......................... 8</td>
<td>3. 10 degrees angulation or 1.0 cm displacement ......... 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0. Crutches or brace .................................. 4-0</td>
<td>2. 15 degrees angulation or 2.0 cm displacement ............ 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion (20 units) Knee Flexion</td>
<td>1. Union but with greater deformity; spreading of condyles; osteo-arthritis............................ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Normal or 135 degrees ................................ 20</td>
<td>0. Nonunion or chronic infection.............................. 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 100 degrees .......................................... 16</td>
<td>Work (10 units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 80 degrees ........................................... 12</td>
<td>Overall Rating: Excellent Above 85 units, Satisfactory 70-85 units, Unsatisfactory 55-69 units, Failure Below 55 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 60 degrees ........................................... 8</td>
<td>5. As before injury ............................................. 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. 40 degrees ......................... 4</td>
<td>4. Regular but with handicap ......................... 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20 degrees or less .............................. 0</td>
<td>3. Alter work ................................................. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work (10 units)</td>
<td>2. Light work ............................................. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. As before injury ..................................... 10</td>
<td>1-0. No work ................................................. 2-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Regular but with handicap ......................... 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS

Twenty-one patients were included in this study with average age 45 (range 19-75) years. Among them 14 were female (range 27-75) years and seven were male (range 19-35) years. Five cases had intra-articular involvement and 16 cases were with dia-metaphyseal fracture mostly oblique and spiral. The mean between injury and surgery was mean 9 (range 5-13) days. Eleven patients required blood transfusion before operative procedure and three patients got blood transfusion after surgery. All the cases were operated under spinal anesthesia. Duration of surgery was average 85 (range 60-150) minutes and average blood loss was 208 (range 150-300) ml without intraoperative complications. Operative duration and blood loss was more in intra-condylar fractures, bulky patients and fractures with difficult reduction. All patients started isometric hamstring, gluteal and quadriceps exercises as taught by the physiotherapist on the 2nd post-operative day and was continued till full range of movement of knee was achieved. Patients were on intravenous antibiotics after surgery for (5-7) days depending upon wound conditions which was switched to oral antibiotics till suture removal (range 12-14) days. Duration of hospital stay was average 12 (range 10-19) days. All patients were ambulated with non-weight bearing using crutches or walker after removal of sutures, except those five patients with inter-condylar fracture. Full weight bearing was allowed when the fracture union was confirmed both clinically and radiologically on average 16 (range 12-22) weeks. Inter-condylar fractures, osteoporotic bone and pain sensitive patients took longer time for full weight bearing.

Radiological union of the fracture was characterized by cortex to cortex healing and bridging callus of the fracture in both antero-posterior and lateral views of follow-up x-rays, average union time was 20 weeks (range 17-29). Neers’ scorings recorded at six months post-op with the help of physiotherapists. Score assessment was excellent in 66.66%, satisfactory in 23.80%, unsatisfactory in 4.76% and poor in 4.76%. Five patients complained of knee pain after radiological healing. Early complications were encountered in three patients, out of which two patients had developed superficial wound infection and one patient had deep infection. They were managed with intravenous antibiotic and proper dressing. None had any implant failure or any deformity.

DISCUSSION

The introduction of distal femoral locking compression plate (DF-LCP) with fixed-angle screws system offers a number of advantages in fracture fixation and DF-LCP has been rapidly adopted as an alternative to intramedullary nails, blade plates and non-locking condylar screws. Distal femur fracture reconstruction needs a very skillful hand because it’s a very challenging procedures for the orthopedic surgeons. The goal of the reconstruction is not only the anatomical reduction of the articular surface but also the adequate stabilization of the fracture and early mobilization along with prevention of the stiffness and early ambulation of the patient. The prognostic factors described for distal femur fracture are age, fracture types, articular involvement, proper implant selection, timing of joint motion and surgeon's expertise. The outcomes of DF-LCP in distal femur correlated with the fracture severity, etiology, anatomic reduction, bone quality, length of time elapsed from injury to surgery, concomitant injuries and proper positioning and fixation of the implant. Any slight variation in implant placement can disturb reduction. DF-LCP is very much user-friendly technique because it makes anatomical reduction and fixation easier. It is ideal implant when the fracture of lower 1/3rd femur has an intercondylar extension.

Surgical fixation of distal femur fractures has consistently demonstrated better outcomes than nonsurgical management based on fixed angle devices starting with ORIF using condylar blade plate (CBP) or Dynamic Condylar Screw (DCS). However, it requires large incisions that led to increasing complication rates of infection, delayed union, non-union, iterative fractures and need for primary or secondary bone grafting. For minimizing those disadvantages, close reduction and minimal exposure to facilitate the insertion of retrograde intramedullary nail with preservation of periosteum and fracture hematoma. Newly introduced minimal invasive plate osteosynthesis (MIPO) techniques were successfully applied in complex extra-articular fractures and a modified technique entitled Transarticular Approach and Retrograde Plate Osteosynthesis (TARPO) was developed for complex supracondylar and intercondylar femoral fractures.
Since the use of plating and nailing technique has modernized, there has been a major improvement in the treatment of distal femur fractures. The revision surgery is co-related with the surgical skills of the surgeon, implant and the type of fracture. Selection of the appropriate implant depends upon the fracture pattern, the condition of the soft tissues, the need of the patient, and the preference of the surgeon. The mean age of patients were higher, which could explain the higher mortality rate. The outcome and the prognosis of fracture depends on micro-motion and stable fixation. There are certain variables that can be controlled by surgeons and some are uncontrollable. Among the uncontrollable variables, the poor bone quality of the patient and the fracture comminution also plays a vital role. 

Table 4: Comparison with commonly used different implants and techniques in terms of duration of hospital stay, radiological union, blood loss, operative time and Neers' score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>At present commonly used techniques</th>
<th>Published articles</th>
<th>Hospital stay</th>
<th>Radiological Union</th>
<th>Blood loss</th>
<th>Operative time (minutes)</th>
<th>Neers' score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ORIF with DCS</td>
<td>Patil SV et al&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.8 days</td>
<td>15 weeks</td>
<td>304.2 cc</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>Excellent----47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MulayS et al&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.5 days</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>104.2</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>Good--------33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dar GN et al&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>18.7 weeks</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Poor---------3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sidhu AS et al&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrograde interlocking nail</td>
<td>Giddie J et al&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>17.5 weeks</td>
<td>178 cc</td>
<td>102.2</td>
<td>Excellent----27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elmowafy HM et al&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>13 weeks</td>
<td>178 cc</td>
<td>102.2</td>
<td>Good--------46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dar GN et al&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>18.5 weeks</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Fair----------27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sidhu AS et al&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIPO with DF-LCP or LISS</td>
<td>Nayak RM et al&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>14.8 weeks</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Excellent----65%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Padha K et al&lt;sup&gt;27&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.2 days</td>
<td>14.2 weeks</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Excellent----65%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gupta SK et al&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>18 weeks</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Excellent----65%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORIF with DF-LCP</td>
<td>Our Study</td>
<td>12 days</td>
<td>20 weeks</td>
<td>208 ml</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Excellent----66.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Good--------23.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fair----------4.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor---------4.76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Technically retrograde nailing is said to be a challenging procedure due to its certain complications like; iatrogenic fracture of femoral shaft, stress fracture above the implant, fatigue failure of the nail, intra-articular impingment of the nail due to inadequate entry point, knee pain and injury to the deep femoral artery. In supracondylar fracture femur, supracondylar nailing is useful for fixation but not in case of comminuted fractures. In comparison with supracondylar nail, DCS is supposed to be a better option for management of distal end of femur fracture in terms achieving bony union with less chances of knee stiffness, knee arthrosis and better Neers’ score. In supracondylar nailing group there is benefit of early weight bearing. However, MIPO does not allow direct visualization of the fracture and the surgeon is dependent on intraoperative fluoroscopy for adequate reduction. The requirement for biological osteosynthesis led to the development of new generation of plates with angular stability, called Less Invasive Stabilization System (LISS). The less invasive stabilization system (LISS) is based on MIPO technique. The LCP differs from the LISS in that the LCP has combination holes and does not have a jig. The LCP acts on the principle of internal fixator and permits percutaneous plating, as locking the screws to the plate do not pull the fracture towards the implant so that the fracture does not redisplace after reduction. The LCP is compatible with MIPO.DF-LCP is a useful arsenal for orthopedic surgeons while fixing fractures around the knee especially when the fractures are severely comminuted and osteoporotic.
CONCLUSION
The outcome of distal femoral fractures fixed with DF-LCP as shown by Neers’ score is excellent with minimal complications. Hence, the DF-LCP can be used as a safe and reliable implant for both intra and extra-articular distal femur fractures to restore the length, rotation and axial alignment of the femur.

RECOMMENDATIONS
With our study results, we recommend DF-LCP can be standard method of management of distal femur fracture.

LIMITATION OF THE STUDY
Our study was single center study and had small sample size. Hence, we recommend a larger sample size and multicentric study with longer follow up.
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